# RESEARCH Open Access



# Telemedicine in home-based care for COVID-19 patients

Si Van Nguyen<sup>1,2\*†</sup>, Huong Nguyen Viet Duong<sup>1,2†</sup>, Hieu Bao Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, My Ai Thao Doan<sup>1</sup>, Duc Thanh Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, An Tuan Tran<sup>1</sup>, Khoi Kim Hoang<sup>1</sup>, Oanh Hoang Ly<sup>1</sup>, Thanh Xuan Dang<sup>1</sup>, Tung Ho Thanh Tran<sup>1</sup>, Hung Quang Tran<sup>2</sup>, Nam Ba Nguyen<sup>2</sup>, Thuy Thi Thu Nguyen<sup>1,2</sup>, Raghu Rai<sup>2</sup> and An Le Pham<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** The COVID-19 pandemic has made devastating impacts on public health and global economy. While most people experience mild symptoms, it is highly transmissible and deadly in at-risk populations. Telemedicine has the potential to prevent hospitalization and provide remote care.

**Methods** This retrospective study included 336 people with COVID-19, among which 141 (42%) and 195 (58%) were in Delta and Omicron dominant groups, respectively. Patients were confirmed to have COVID-19 by PCR or rapid test and were cared for via telemedicine. Severe cases were hospitalized for more intensive treatment.

**Results** The majority of individuals recovered at home (97.02%), while 2.98% required hospitalization. All hospital admissions were in Delta dominant group. No deaths were reported. Delta dominant group was more likely to develop loss of taste and smell, decreased appetite and need longer treatment time than those in Omicron dominant group.

**Conclusions** Telemedicine is a safe measure to provide at-home care for people with COVID-19 infections caused by both Delta and Omicron variants.

**Trial registration** This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee of University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City (IRB No: 22115–DHYD).

Keywords COVID-19, Delta, Omicron, Telemedicine, Home-based care

## **Background**

The coronavirus pandemic is arguably the most serious global health crisis of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. As of July 2022, more than 10.7 million people in Vietnam had been infected [1], with the recent fourth wave starting

as the most disruptive yet. It developed in April 2021 and was marked by the emergence of the fast-spreading and highly contagious Delta variant. Across the country, Ho Chi Minh City swiftly became the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic, with medical institutions overloaded with infected cases and excess mortality seen in critically ill patients [2]. Seven months later, in November 2021, Omicron variant, which was less fatal and rendered the disease more manageable but highly contagious, took over [3]. Nevertheless, the pandemic remains a global health and economic crisis with long-term impacts on the community.

Telemedicine is the remote delivery of healthcare services via telecommunication platforms to serve patients

Si Van Nguyen

si.nguyen@ump.edu.vn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Jio Health Smart Clinic, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger \! Si \, Van \, Nguyen$  and Huong Nguyen Viet Duong contributed equally to this work.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

and clients [4]. Its role during the pandemic has been manyfold, from triaging patients with severe illnesses who require early hospitalization to providing care for those with mild or asymptomatic COVID-19 which account for 80% of all cases and could be safely followed up at home [5, 6]. In this respect, it has been proven as an effective and satisfactory tool to prevent unnecessary hospital visits and the spread of the pandemic. This study was conducted to examine the utility and safety of telemedicine in caring for people with COVID-19 during both Delta and Omicron variants' dominant periods.

## Methods

# Study settings

This retrospective study included patients diagnosed with COVID-19 by a rapid screening or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test and received care via Jio Health app-based telemedicine from August 2021 to March 2022; pregnant people were excluded. During the study period, Delta variant was dominant among infected patients from August to December 2021 and was subsequently replaced by Omicron variant from January to March 2022, according to the country's COVID-19 situation report submitted to the World Health Organization (WHO) as of March 13, 2022 [7, 8].

# **About Jio Health**

Jio Health Polyclinic Company Limited is a private health clinic licensed by the Ho Chi Minh City Department of Health (License No: 0309145924) and a training unit of the Family Medicine Department of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy (UMP) in HCMC. Our telemedicine service is compliant with the regulations of Vietnam's Ministry of Health and is encrypted to protect clients' medical information. The platform can be downloaded online to smart mobile devices using Android or iOS operating systems from Google Play or App Store, respectively.

# Statistical analysis

All computations were done using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. The Shapiro–Wilk and skewness-kurtosis tests were used to check for normality. Normally distributed continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviations, while non-normally distributed continuous variables are shown as median and interquartile range. Sample sizes and percentages are used to represent categorical variables. Statistic tests used to compare the characteristics of patients among Delta and Omicron dominant groups were the Chi-square test for categorical variables and the t-test of Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables. *P* value < 0.05 is considered as statistically significant difference.

### Results

### **Patient characteristics**

The demographic characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. A total of 336 patients were included, more than half of whom were in Omicron dominant group (58%). Two groups infected with Delta and Omicron variants were similar in age, with a median age of 31. There were 23 cases among 336 participants older than 60 years old. 11.6% of patients had underlying medical conditions. More male and obese patients were reported in Delta prevalent group than in Omicron prevalent group (p values of 0.01 and 0.002, respectively). The proportion of Omicron cases that were vaccinated was significantly higher than that of Delta cases (p < 0.0001). Except for one patient having one time of vaccination, the other 194 patients infected with Omicron variant were vaccinated with at least two doses, while only 26 Delta cases (18.44%) completed the whole course of vaccination. Among patients presenting with at least one comorbidity (obesity, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, asthma, liver diseases, or cancer), obesity and hypertension were the most frequently reported comorbidities (10.4% and 6.5% of all patients, respectively).

The majority of the participants lived in HCMC (91.4%). During Omicron dominant group, the proportion of patients from other provinces increased 4.5 times compared with Delta group (2.84% and 12.82%, respectively). Similarly, within HCMC, patients in remote areas like districts 7, 10, Binh Thanh and Thu Duc joined telemedicine care at high rates. (Chart S1 and Chart S2).

# Clinical manifestations

Table 2 shows the symptoms that patients experienced by variants. The most common manifestations were fever (64.29%) and those frequently seen in upper respiratory tract infection, including cough (63.39%), sore throat (58.04%) and runny nose (35.12%). The statistically significant differences between Delta dominant group and Omicron dominant group were seen in the prevalence of various symptoms, including runny nose, sore throat, loss of smell or taste, and decreased appetite. The three symptoms that were less common among individuals infected with Omicron variant than people infected with Delta variant are runny nose (p = 0.03), loss of smell and taste (p < 0.0001) and decreased appetite (p = 0.001). Sore throat was significantly more likely to be presented during Omicron prevalence than during Delta prevalence (p=0.03). However, runny nose and sore throat lost their significance after Bonferroni adjustment. Of note, patients recruited during Delta dominant period reported a significantly longer duration of treatment than those in Omicron dominance (median treatment duration of 10 days versus 7 days, p < 0.0001).

**Table 1** Patient characteristics

	Total n = 336	Delta dominance n = 141 (42%)	Omicron dominance n = 195 (58%)	<i>p</i> -value
Demographic				
Age (years), median (range)	31 (26–38)	31 (26–40)	31 (27–36)	0.9
Age≥60	23 (6.85)	11 (7.80)	12 (6.15)	0.7
Male	151 (44.94)	75 (53.19)	76 (38.97)	0.01
BMI (kg/m $^2$ ), mean $\pm$ SD	$21.72 \pm 2.70$	22.33 ± 2.98	21.28 ± 2.38	0.005
Residence in HCMC	307 (91.37)	137 (97.16)	170 (87.18)	0.003
Comorbidity				
Obesity	35 (10.41)	23 (16.31)	12 (6.15)	0.002
Hypertension	22 (6.55)	3 (2.13)	3 (1.54)	0.3
Diabetes mellitus	7 (2.08)	2 (1.42)	5 (2.56)	0.7
COPD	3 (0.89)	3 (2.13)	0	0.1
Asthma	6 (1.79)	3 (2.13)	3 (1.54)	1.00
Liver diseases	3 (0.89)	2 (1.42)	1 (0.51)	0.7
Cancer	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.51)	1.0
Number of vaccine doses				
0	12 (3.57)	12 (8.51)	0 (0)	< 0.0001
1	104 (30.95)	103 (73.05)	1 (0.51)	
2	54 (16.07)	26 (18.44)	28 (14.36)	
3	166 (49.40)	0 (0)	166 (85.13)	

Data are presented as sample size (percentage), unless otherwise specified

BMI body mass index, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HCMC Ho Chi Minh City

Table 2 Clinical characteristics

	Total n = 336	Delta dominance $n = 141$	Omicron dominance $n = 195$	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value*
SpO <sub>2</sub> <95%	48 (14.29)	25 (17.73)	23 (11.79)	0.1	1.0
Fever	216 (64.29)	89 (63.12)	127 (65.13)	0.7	1.0
Shortness of breath	28 (8.33)	13 (9.22)	15 (7.69)	0.7	1.0
Rapid breathing	3 (0.89)	2 (1.42)	1 (0.51)	0.7	1.0
Cough	213 (63.39)	82 (58.16)	131 (67.18)	0.1	1.0
Runny nose	118 (35.12)	59 (41.84)	59 (30.26)	0.03	0.42
Sore throat	195 (58.04)	72 (51.06)	123 (63.08)	0.03	0.42
Loss of smell or taste	110 (32.74)	88 (62.41)	22 (11.28)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Chest pain	7 (2.08)	3 (2.13)	4 (2.05)	1.0	1.0
Reduced exercise capacity	8 (2.38)	3 (2.13)	5 (2.56)	1.0	1.0
Myoclonus	2 (0.60)	1 (0.71)	1 (0.51)	1.0	1.0
Decreased appetite	46 (13.69)	30 (21.28)	16 (8.21)	0.001	0.014
Vomiting	3 (8.93)	2 (1.42)	1 (0.51)	0.7	1.0
Duration of treatment (days)	8 (7—11)	10 (7—14)	7 (6—10)	< 0.0001	< 0.001

Data are presented as sample size (percentage), except for the duration of treatment (median-interquartile range)

 $SpO_2$  Saturation of peripheral oxygen

# **Clinical outcomes**

Clinical outcomes are summarized in Table 3. Overall, no deaths were reported. The majority of participants recovered at home, with only 10/336 (2.98%) of

patients requiring hospitalization. Individuals in Delta dominant group were more likely to be hospitalized than those in Omicron dominance (10 cases versus 0 case, p = 0.0006).

<sup>\*</sup> Bonferroni-adjusted

Table 3 Patient outcomes

	Total n=336	Delta dominance n=141	Omicron dominance $n = 195$	<i>P</i> value
At-home recovery	326 (97.02)	131 (92.91)	195 (100)	0.0006
Hospitalization	10 (2.98)	10 (7.09)	0 (0)	0.0006
Death	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1.00

Data are presented as sample size (percentage)

### Discussion

Our study timeline spanned two phases of the COVID-19 pandemic that were characterized by the successive dominance of Delta and Omicron variants. During the first half, medical institutions in HCMC were severely overloaded with confirmed cases, especially critically ill patients. As a result, people with milder symptoms and at-risk populations (i.e., the elderly, people with underlying medical conditions) were much less likely to receive in-person care from healthcare professionals. In response to this situation, the Ministry of Vietnam encouraged the nationwide implementation of telemedicine, while HCMC's Department of Health promptly allowed for their use to provide remote care for people that could safely remain at home [9]. As a private medical facility, Jio Health was able to provide telemedicine service timely for the local community. In contrast, the second half of our study was characterized by the replacement of the less deadly Omicron variant. Nevertheless, people could still develop severe illness, require hospitalization and die from this variant, making home-based self-care without medical help highly unsafe and telemedicine a continuously necessary and attractive option.

Overall, we have demonstrated the safety of telemedicine implementation during both Delta- and Omicron-dominant phases of the pandemic among groups of patients with different demographic and clinical characteristics. The rates of hospital admission and death were low which were comparable to other studies [10, 11] (Table 4). Similar to previous reports, participants in the study were mostly younger adults, showing that this population could be more competent and willing to adapt to technology-based healthcare solutions [12]. Older adults, on the other hand, are also expected to benefit from telemedicine with adequate instruction or assistance from family members. Furthermore, most participants did not have any underlying medical conditions, a result also in line with past studies [13]. People during Delta dominance had a higher rate of comorbidities than those with Omicron

**Table 4** Telemedicine for COVID-19 patients: hospitalization and death rates

	zation Dea	th		
r study	0 (0)	)		
callef et al. [10]	1 (0.	6)		
ounal et al. [11]	0 (0)	-		
ounal et al. [11]		0 (0)		

Data are presented as sample size (percentage)

dominance, which could be explained by a more popular appeal of telemedicine services among at-risk individuals. Interestingly, while Jio Health offices and clinics were located in HCMC, the service received appointment requests from people living in quite distant provinces, showing that the use of telemedicine surged considerably during Omicron dominant period. Even within HCMC, patients from the remote districts had the highest rates of using telemedicine service. Notably, there were strict policies about distancing within Vietnam and within cities like HCMC, especially in Delta dominant period. Our study, therefore, showed one of the advantages of telemedicine is being able to reach distant populations during a contagious pandemic.

The majority of participants in the study experienced mild symptoms. The presented clinical manifestations vary among patients infected with Delta and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variants. Our study showed that COVID-19-highly specific symptoms such as loss of taste and smell were more frequently seen among people with Delta dominance, while those with Omicron dominance were likely to develop symptoms associated with upper respiratory tract infection. This is also consistent with previous findings from the UK and China which reported the prevalence of symptoms associated with an Omicron infection differs from those of Delta variant, apparently with less involvement of lower respiratory tract. This could be due to an adjustment in where the virus survives and multiplies from Delta to the newer Omicron variant [14, 15]. We also found that all hospital admissions in the study occurred during Delta-dominance period and people were sick for a significantly longer time, demonstrating the less severe profile of Omicron variant. This supports other findings from South Africa and South Korea, which showed milder severity and lower risk of hospitalization among people infected with Omicron than those infected with Delta [16-18]. Our finding further suggests that COVID-19 might become endemic in the future and that telemedicine will continue to be an alternative way to deliver safe home-based healthcare for patients and prevent unnecessary hospital visits, as evidenced by a minimal rate of hospitalization (7%) in our study.

There are some limitations in our study. First, the identification of the variant results was not based on genomic sequencing, but on epidemiological findings which could not exclude the overlap between the two variants. Second, the retrospective study design restricted data assessment. Third, the exclusion of pregnant women and the low rate of elderly participants due to concurrent local guidelines for home-based care limited the performance of telemedicine [9]. Fourth, people living in rural areas with inadequate access to healthcare were underrepresented. Fifth, risk factors associated with hospitalizations were not examined.

# Conclusion

Overall, our study demonstrated the role of telemedicine throughout the two phases of the COVID-19 pandemic, which were characterized by the deadly Delta variant causing severe disruption in the medical system, followed by the less lethal but highly transmissible Omicron variant. Telemedicine is a safe resource to care for COVID-19 patients at home.

### Abbreviations

BMI Body mass index

COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

COVID-19 Coronavirus Disease 2019 HCMC Ho Chi Minh City

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

UMP University of Medicine and Pharmacy

WHO World Health Organization

# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-023-02199-y.

**Additional file 1: Chart S1.** Locations of participants in Vietnam. **Chart S2.** Locations of participants in Ho Chi Minh City.

# Acknowledgements

None.

### Authors' contributions

SVN, HNVD, ALP and BTN were involved in the initial idea and design of this study. SVN, HBN, MATD, DTN, ATT, KKH, OHL, TXD, TTTN and THTT collected and analysed the data. SVN, HNVD, HBN, MATD, DTN, HQT, NBN and RR worked on the subsequent revisions and all authors contributed to the intellectual content of the paper. All authors have read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

# Funding

None.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request as per the local ethics committee requirements.

### **Declarations**

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval was taken from the Institutional Review Board Committee of University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City (IRB No: 22115–DHYD). An online informed consent was obtained from all participants. The investigation conformed to the principles outlined in the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 15 October 2022 Accepted: 31 October 2023 Published online: 29 November 2023

### References

- World Health Organization: Viet Nam Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) - Situation Report #95 3 July 2022. https://www.who.int/vietnam/ internal-publications-detail/covid-19-in-viet-nam-situation-report-95. Accessed 5 Oct 2023.
- Nikkei Asia. Vietnam's Ho Chi Minh City has highest COVID death rate in region. https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/Coronavirus/Vietnam-s-Ho-Chi-Minh-City-has-highest-COVID-death-rate-in-region. Accessed 6 Dec 2021.
- Gao S-J, Guo H, Luo G. Omicron variant (B. 1.1. 529) of SARS-CoV-2, a global urgent public health alert! J Med Virol. 2022;94(4):1255.
- Kvedar J, Coye MJ, Everett W. Connected health: a review of technologies and strategies to improve patient care with telemedicine and telehealth. HealthAffairs. 2014:33(2):194.
- Rockwell KL, Gilroy AS. Incorporating telemedicine as part of COVID-19 outbreak response systems. Am J Manag Care. 2020;26(4):147–8.
- Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China. Epidemiology working group for NCIP epidemic response. Chin Cent Disease Control Prev. 2020;41(2):145–51.
- World Health Organization: COVID-19 in Viet Nam Situation Report 58. 2021. https://www.who.int/vietnam/internal-publications-detail/covid-19-in-viet-nam-situation-report-58. Accessed 5 July 2022.
- World Health Organization: COVID-19 in Viet Nam Situation Report 84. 2021. https://www.who.int/vietnam/internal-publications-detail/covid-19-in-viet-nam-situation-report-84. Accessed 5 July 2022.
- Công văn số 5069/SYT-NVY của Sở y tế thành phố Hồ Chí Minh ngày 28/7/2021 về việc ban hành Hướng dẫn triển khai chăm sóc và theo dõi sức khỏe tại nhà đối với người mắc COVID-19. http://file.medinet.gov. vn/data/soytehcm/vanphongso/attachments/2021\_7/5069-syt-nvy\_ 29720218.pdf. Accessed 28 Jul 2021.
- Micallef M, Hurley S, Brell N, Cook R, Post J, Overton K. Telehealth outpatient coronavirus Disease 2019 case management at a tertiary hospital in Sydney. J Telemed Telecare 2020;0(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/13576 33X211024097.
- Rabuñal R, Suarez-Gil R, Golpe R, Martínez-García M, Gómez-Méndez R, Romay-Lema E, Pérez-López A, Rodríguez-Álvarez A, Bal-Alvaredo M. Usefulness of a Telemedicine Tool TELEA in the management of the COVID-19 pandemic. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2020;26(11):1332–5.
- Yordanov Y, Dinh A, Bleibtreu A, Mensch A, Lescure FX, Debuc E, et al. Clinical characteristics and factors associated with hospital admission or death in 43 103 adult outpatients with coronavirus Disease 2019 managed with the Covidom telesurveillance solution: a prospective cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021;27(8):1158–66.
- Menni C, Valdes AM, Polidori L, et al. Symptom prevalence, duration, and risk of hospital admission in individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 during periods of omicron and delta variant dominance: a prospective observational study from the ZOE COVID Study. The Lancet. 2022;399(10335):1618–24.

- Hu T, Zhang M, Deng A, Zhang Y, Li B, Li Y, Kang M. Comparison of Omicron and Delta variant Infection COVID-19 cases—Guangdong Province, China, 2022. China CDC Weekly. 2022;4(18):385.
- Hui KPY, Ho JCW, Cheung M-c, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant replication in human bronchus and lung ex vivo. Nature. 2022;603(7902):715–20.
- Karim SSA, Karim QA. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet. 2021;398(10317):2126–8.
- Wolter N, Jassat W, Walaza S, Welch R, Moultrie H, Groome M, et al. Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant in South Africa: a data linkage study. The Lancet. 2022;399(10323):437–46.
- Maslo C, Friedland R, Toubkin M, Laubscher A, Akaloo T, Kama B. Characteristics and outcomes of hospitalized patients in South Africa during the COVID-19 Omicron wave compared with previous waves. J Am Med Association (JAMA). 2022;327(6):583–4.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$  thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

### At BMC, research is always in progress.

**Learn more** biomedcentral.com/submissions

